

MWGFD e.V. calls on the European Medicines Agency (EMA) to provide clarity on the authorization documents for the modRNA and vector vaccines against COVID-19

An annotated bibliography on the issue of side effects of COVID-19 vaccines

As chairman of the association “Doctors and Scientists for Health, Freedom and Democracy – [MWGFD e.V.](#)”, I recently wrote a letter to the head of the [European Medicines Agency \(EMA\)](#), Ms [Emer Cooke](#). The letter is [published on the MWGFD website](#) in its original English version and in a German translation. I am also making the original version available here [as a PDF for download](#). Ms Cooke claimed that she had no evidence that would cast doubt on the safety of the COVID-19 modRNA vaccines. Really? We thought we’d give Ms Cooke a hand with a bit of literature, as she apparently doesn’t have a proper scientific department to do the work. Therefore, a – not exhaustive, but detailed – annotated bibliography is attached as an appendix to the letter.

A few words on the background:

Some time ago, there was a [European initiative for transparency](#) launched by the lawyer Dr Silvia Behrendt. In it, the group called on the EMA to disclose all regulatory data. A meeting took place on July 2nd in Amsterdam, to which Ms Cooke, however, [had invited only Ms Behrendt](#). Ms Behrendt is the founder of the [Global Health Responsibility Agency](#). We, the MWGFD e.V., have joined this initiative and asked to [attend this meeting in Amsterdam](#). Ms Cooke rejected this request in a letter to us. At the meeting itself, [the EMA refused to disclose the documents](#).

The original reply from Ms Cooke, in which she rejects our request and states that they have no significant scientific findings that would alter their assessment of the safety of the COVID-19 vaccines, [can be viewed here](#).

One can, of course, understand the refusal to allow us to attend the meeting. It is her absolute right to invite whoever she wishes. But to claim that there are no scientifically sound findings that call the safety of the vaccines into question? How is one to interpret that? Stupidity? Audacity? Political intransigence? All of the above? I am astonished. So I thought I’d give her a little helping hand. Perhaps I should have sent her a link to Bach’s motet ‘Der Geist hilft unserer Schwachheit auf’ as well. Instead, I gave her a

recommendation for a brilliant thriller that I should have read much earlier: Le Carré's 'The Constant Gardner'.

[So here is the link to the letter and our annotated bibliography.](#)

